1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

v.

AFFAIRS,

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA VANCE S. ELLIOTT, No. 11 - misc. - 80237 WHA (PR) Plaintiff, ORDER DENYING LEAVE TO FILE AMENDED COMPLAINT DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS

In an order filed on February 15, 2006, plaintiff was deemed a vexatious litigant (No. C 04-1600 MHP (docket number 36)). As such, he is required to obtain leave before he may file any new complaint. The complaint in this action asserted a claim of libel. He was denied leave to file the complaint because it did not state a cognizable claim for relief or any basis for federal subject matter jurisdiction. He has submitted an amended complaint, also for libel, which still does not set forth any basis for federal subject matter jurisdiction. Accordingly, leave to file the amended complaint is **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Defendant.

Dated: January ____9___, 2012.



 $G:\ \ PRO-SE\ \ WHA\ \ ELLIOTT.misc.wpd$